The BWSR mission is to improve and protect Minnesota’s water and soil resources by working in partnership with local governments and private landowners.
Shall the Minnesota Constitution be amended to dedicate funding to protect our drinking water sources; to protect, enhance, and restore our wetlands, prairies, forests, and fish, game, and wildlife habitat; to preserve our arts and cultural heritage; to support our parks and trails; and to protect, enhance, and restore our lakes, rivers, streams, and groundwater by increasing the sales and use tax rate beginning July 1, 2009, by three-eighths of one percent on taxable sales until the year 2034?
Clean Water, Wildlife, Cultural Heritage and Natural Areas
Legacy Amendment - Results by County

November 4, 2008 - General Election

Shall the Minnesota Constitution be amended to dedicate funding to protect our drinking water sources; to protect, enhance, and restore our wetlands, prairies, forests, and fish, game, and wildlife habitat; to preserve our arts and cultural heritage; to support our parks and trails; and to protect, enhance, and restore our lakes, rivers, streams, and groundwater by increasing the sales and use tax rate beginning July 1, 2009, by three-eighths of one percent on taxable sales until the year 2024?

Legacy Amendment Passes with a statewide
Estimated Percent YES = 56.09 %

Percentage of YES votes by county
- 30% - 41%
- 42% - 47%
- 48% - 59%
- 60% - 65%
- 66% - 69%
- 70% - 79%
- 80% - 90%
- 91% - 100%

Statewide Totals
Yes = 1,635,006
No = 1,141,763
Estimated Blanks = 138,357
Estimated Total Voters = 2,910,126

*Results for municipal, school district, and special district races are unofficial. Results for federal, state and county races are unofficial until canvass board meetings have been conducted on December 15, 2008. Accurate recount results may be available following canvassing. If recounts occur, the official results may be amended to reflect the recount results.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clean Water Fund:</td>
<td>$106 Million</td>
<td>(33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Heritage Fund:</td>
<td>$106 Million</td>
<td>(33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts and Cultural Heritage Fund:</td>
<td>$59 Million</td>
<td>(19.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Trails Fund:</td>
<td>$43 Million</td>
<td>(14.25%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What does the Legacy Amendment do?
How BWSR and local governments use the Clean Water and Outdoor Heritage Funds

Partners
- Soil & Water Conservation Districts
- Watershed Districts
- Counties
- Cities
Outdoor Heritage Fund example
Clean Water Fund uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applied Research and Tools</td>
<td>$4,762,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Source Implementation</td>
<td>$8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRAPS</td>
<td>TMDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and Assessment</td>
<td>$12,160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Drinking Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpoint Source Implementation</td>
<td>$51,579,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clean Water Fund outcomes
Project selection process

Projects and practices weighted criteria by percentage

- Measurable Outcomes: 40%
- Targeting: 30%
- Relationship to Plan: 10%
- Project Readiness: 5%
- Project Description: 5%
- Biennial Budget Request: 5%
Clean Water Fund examples
Little Rock Lake restoration project

Projected Pollution Reduction:
Phosphorus (1,200 lbs./yr.)

11%
Red River sediment reduction project

Projected Pollution Reduction: Sediment (1,200 tons/yr.)
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18%
Lake McCarron protection project

Projected Pollution Reduction:
Phosphorus (45 lbs./yr.)

- 39% reduction
Wolverton Creek reduction project

Projected Pollution Reduction:
Sediment (6,500 tons/yr.)

- Multi-Phase
- Outlet Stabilization (complete)
- Buffering and Side Inlets on Legal Drainage Systems (complete)
- Wolverton Corridor
- 3 Phased Reaches (A, B, and C)
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